## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES	OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number _11mj70050HRL	
V.	OVA-MENDOZA, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
CIT KIANO COKE	OVA-MENDOZA, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETERMION TENDING TRIAL	
In accordan	ice with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on January 25, 2011.	
Defendant was prese	ent, represented by his attorney Cynthia Lie	e AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S.	
Attorney Suzanne D	eBerry		
PART I. PRESUMPT	IONS APPLICABLE		
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted			
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a	
•	han five (5) years has elapsed since the dat	te of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,	
whichever is later.			
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety			
of any other person	•		
	<del>-</del>	ent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant	
has committed an of		comment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. S	
A	801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et	sonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §	
D	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>-</del>	
_	B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.  This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the		
	efendant as required and the safety of the co		
	sumption applies.	ommunity.	
_	L OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE		
		ent evidence to rebut the applicable presumpt or [s], and le	
therefore will be ord		1 . 1	
		o rebut the applicable presumption[s] to with AN 2 5 2011	
	urden of proof shifts back to the United Sta	ates.	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)  RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition on continuous of the Part of the			
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR			
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure th	e safety of any other person and the comm	unity.	
	N FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF		
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at			
the hearing and finds as follows:			
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.			
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION			
The defendant	is committed to the custody of the Attorne	y General or his designated representative for confinement in a	
orrections facility sepa	rate to the extent practicable from persons	awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.	
he defendant shall be	afforded a reasonable opportunity for priva	ate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the	
nited States or on the	request of an attorney for the Government,	, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
efendant to the United	States Marshal for the purpose of an appe	arance in connection with a court proceeding.	
ILAE	1 .		
Dated:	// [ ]	WARD R HOW	
ated:	$\frac{1}{1}$	VARD R. LLOYI	

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA \_\_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_\_